arXiv:1304.5757v1 [math.RT] 21 Apr 2013

BLOCKS OF AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS

QIANG FU

Abstract. The affine quantum Schur algebra is a certain important infinite dimensional algebra whose representation theory is closely related to that of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Finite dimensional irreducible modules for the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ were classified in [15], where $v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is not a root of unity. We will classify blocks of the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ in this paper.

1. Introduction

The classical Schur algebra $S(n,r)$ over an infinite field F is a finite dimensional algebra whose module category is equivalent to the category of r-homogeneous polynomial representations of the general linear group $GL_n(F)$. The blocks of the Schur algebra $S(n,r)$ were determined by Donkin in [17] from the blocks of $GL_n(F)$. The q-Schur algebras are q-analogues of Schur algebras. When $q=1$, these are the usual Schur algebras, and when q is a prime power, q-Schur algebras play an important role in the representation of finite general linear groups in the nondescribing characteristic case (see [16]). It was proved by Cox [14] that the blocks of q-Schur algebras $S_q(n,r)$ can be derived in the same way from the blocks of an appropriate quantum general linear group. The q-Schur algebras and quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ are related by quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity [29, 18, 19] (see also [2, 36, 1, 26] for the cyclotomic Schur–Weyl reciprocity).

The affine quantum Schur algebra is the affine version of the q-Schur algebra and it has several equivalent definitions (see [24, 25, 31, 37]). Unlike the q-Schur algebra, the affine quantum Schur algebra is an infinite dimensional algebra. Let $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ and let $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ be the affine quantum Schur algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, where $v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is not a root of unity. It was proved in [15, Th. 3.8.1] that there is a surjective algebra homomorphism $\zeta_r : U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ (cf. [23, 34]). Every simple $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module is inflated to a simple $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module via ζ_r. Furthermore each finite dimensional polynomial irreducible $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module can be regarded as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module via the map ζ_r for some r. Therefore, the representation theory of the affine quantum Schur algebras $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ is closely related to that of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Finite dimensional irreducible modules for the quantum loop algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ were classified by Chari–Pressley in [9, 10, 11], where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex finite dimensional simple Lie algebra. Furthermore the blocks of the category of finite dimensional $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-modules of type 1 were classified in [20, 8]. Finite dimensional irreducible modules for the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ were classified [15, Th. 4.6.8] in terms of Drinfeld polynomials. Since the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules is not semisimple, the problem of determining the blocks in the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ is very important. We will classify blocks of the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ in Theorem 6.8 and Theorem 6.9.

It is well known that the blocks of extended affine Hecke algebras of type A are classified by central characters (see [33, Th. 7], [5, III.9] and [32, Th. 2.15]). If $n \geqslant r$ then the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ is equivalent to the category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_r$ of finite dimensional modules for the extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ of type A (see [12, Th. 4.2] and [15, Th. 4.1.3]). Thus by Theorem 6.8 we obtain a different approach to the classification of blocks in the category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_r$.

We organize this paper as follows. We recall the definition of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ in §2. In §3, we will recall some results about $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{\frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \in \mathbb{C}(u) \mid f(u), g(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u],\ f(0) = g(0) = 1\}$ and let $\mathsf{X}(n) = \mathbb{A}^n$. In §4, we define the ℓ-root lattice $\mathsf{R}(n)$ of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ to be a certain subgroup of $\mathsf{X}(n)$, and construct a certain subset $\Xi_{n,r}$ of $\mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$. We will describe the set $\Xi_{n,r}$ in Proposition 4.2 and discuss the ℓ-weights of polynomial irreducible $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules in Corollary 4.4. We will prove in Theorem 6.8 that the set $\Xi_{n,r}$ is the index set of the blocks in the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules. In §5, we will introduce the Weyl modules for the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ and generalize Corollary 4.4 to the case of ℓ-highest weight modules. Blocks of the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules will be classified in Theorem 6.8 and Theorem 6.9. As an application, we will use Theorem 6.9 to give a classification of the blocks for the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ in Theorem 7.4.

2. QUANTUM AFFINE $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ AND AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS

2.1. Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a complex number which is not a root of unity, where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Let $(c_{i,j})$ be the Cartan matrix of affine type A_{n-1}.

We recall the Drinfeld's new realization of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ as follows (cf. [21]).

Definition 2.1. The *quantum loop algebra* $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (or *quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$) is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}$ $(1 \leqslant i < n,\ s \in \mathbb{Z})$, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\mathtt{g}_{i,t}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ t \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ with the following relations:

(QLA1) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{k}_i^{-1} = 1 = \mathtt{k}_i^{-1}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i, \mathtt{k}_j] = 0,$

(QLA2) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,s} = v^{\pm(\delta_{i,j}-\delta_{i,j+1})}\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,s}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i, \mathtt{g}_{j,s}] = 0,$

(QLA3) $[\mathsf{g}_{i,s},\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t}]=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } i\neq j,\ j+1;\\ \pm v^{-js}\frac{[s]_v}{s}\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,s+t}, & \text{if } i=j;\\ \mp v^{-js}\frac{[s]_v}{s}\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,s+t}, & \text{if } i=j+1,\end{cases}$

(QLA4) $[\mathsf{g}_{i,s},\mathsf{g}_{j,t}]=0$,

(QLA5) $[\mathtt{x}^{+}_{i,s},\mathtt{x}^{-}_{j,t}]=\delta_{i,j}\frac{\phi^{+}_{i,s+t}-\phi^{-}_{i,s+t}}{v-v^{-1}}$,

(QLA6) $\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t}=\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t}\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}$, for $|i-j|>1$, and $[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s+1},\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t}]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}}=-[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t+1},\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}}$,

(QLA7) $[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s},[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t},\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,p}]_v]_v=-[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,p},[\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{j,t},\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}]_v]_v$ for $|i-j|=1$,

where $[x,y]_a=xy-ayx$, $[s]_v=\frac{v^s-v^{-s}}{v-v^{-1}}$ and $\phi^{\pm}_{i,s}$ are defined via the generating functions in indeterminate u by

$$\Phi^{\pm}_i(u):=\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm1}\exp\bigl(\pm(v-v^{-1})\sum_{m\geqslant1}\mathtt{h}_{i,\pm m}u^{\pm m}\bigr)=\sum_{s\geqslant0}\phi^{\pm}_{i,\pm s}u^{\pm s}$$

with $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i=\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{k}_{i+1}^{-1}$ $(\mathtt{k}_{n+1}=\mathtt{k}_1)$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,\pm m}=v^{\pm(i-1)m}\mathsf{g}_{i,\pm m}-v^{\pm(i+1)m}\mathsf{g}_{i+1,\pm m}$ $(1\leqslant i<n)$.

Let $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by the elements $\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,t}$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$, $s\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $t\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. For $1\leqslant j<n$, let $E_j=\mathtt{x}^{+}_{j,0}$ and $F_j=\mathtt{x}^{-}_{j,0}$ and let

$$E_n=v[\mathtt{x}^{-}_{n-1,0},[\mathtt{x}^{-}_{n-2,0},\cdots,[\mathtt{x}^{-}_{2,0},\mathtt{x}^{-}_{1,1}]_{v^{-1}}\cdots]_{v^{-1}}]_{v^{-1}}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n,$$
$$F_n=v^{-1}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n^{-1}[\cdots[[\mathtt{x}^{+}_{1,-1},\mathtt{x}^{+}_{2,0}]_v,\mathtt{x}^{+}_{3,0}]_v,\cdots,\mathtt{x}^{+}_{n-1,0}]_v.$$

Then the algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is also generated by the elements E_i, F_i and $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm1}$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ (see [4]). For $s\geqslant1$ let

$$\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s=sv^{\pm s}\frac{1}{[s]_v}(\mathsf{g}_{1,\pm s}+\cdots+\mathsf{g}_{n,\pm s}).$$

Then the elements $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s$ are central in $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and the algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is generated by E_i, F_i, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\geqslant1$. Furthermore, the algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication $\Delta:U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\to U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\otimes U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(E_i)=E_i\otimes\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i+1\otimes E_i,\quad \Delta(F_i)=F_i\otimes1+\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{-1}\otimes F_i,\\&\Delta(\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1})=\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}\otimes\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1},\quad \Delta(\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s)=\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s\otimes1+1\otimes\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s;\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ (see [27, 2.2] and [15, Cor. 2.3.5 and Prop. 4.4.1]).

2.2. We now recall the definition of affine quantum Schur algebras (see [24, 25, 31, 37]). Let Ω_v be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with basis $\{\omega_i\mid i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. The algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ acts on Ω_v by

$$E_i\cdot\omega_s=\delta_{\overline{i+1},\bar{s}}\omega_{s-1},\quad F_i\cdot\omega_s=\delta_{\bar{i},\bar{s}}\omega_{s+1},\quad \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}\cdot\omega_s=v^{\pm\delta_{\bar{i},\bar{s}}}\omega_s,$$
$$\mathsf{z}^{+}_t\cdot\omega_s=\omega_{s-tn},\quad\text{and}\quad \mathsf{z}^{-}_t\cdot\omega_s=\omega_{s+tn},$$

where $\bar{i}$ denotes the corresponding integer modulo n. The tensor space $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$ is a left $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module via the coproduct Δ on $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

The extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ of type A is defined to be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by

$$T_i, \quad X_j^{\pm 1} (1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1,\, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r),$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_i+1)(T_i-v^2)=0,\\
&T_iT_{i+1}T_i=T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1},\ \ T_iT_j=T_jT_i\ (|i-j|>1),\\
&X_iX_i^{-1}=1=X_i^{-1}X_i,\ \ X_iX_j=X_jX_i,\\
&T_iX_iT_i=v^2X_{i+1},\ \ X_jT_i=T_iX_j\ (j\neq i,i+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $I(n,r)=\{(i_1,\ldots,i_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r \mid 1\leqslant i_k\leqslant n,\ \forall k\}$. We denote the symmetric group on r letters by $\mathfrak{S}_r$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ acts on the set $I(n,r)$ by place permutation:

$$\mathbf{i}w=(i_{w(1)},\cdots,i_{w(r)}), \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{i}\in I(n,r) \text{ and } w\in\mathfrak{S}_r.$$

For $\mathbf{i}=(i_1,\ldots,i_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r$, write

$$\omega_{\mathbf{i}}=\omega_{i_1}\otimes\omega_{i_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes\omega_{i_r}=\omega_{i_1}\omega_{i_2}\cdots\omega_{i_r}\in\Omega_v^{\otimes r}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ acts on $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$ on the right via

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{\mathbf{i}}\cdot T_k=\begin{cases} v^2\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_k=i_{k+1};\\ v\omega_{\mathbf{i}s_k}, & \text{if } i_k<i_{k+1};\\ v\omega_{\mathbf{i}s_k}+(v^2-1)\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_{k+1}<i_k,\end{cases} \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{i}\in I(n,r),\\
&\omega_{\mathbf{i}}\cdot X_t^{-1}=\omega_{i_1}\cdots\omega_{i_{t-1}}\omega_{i_t+n}\omega_{i_{t+1}}\cdots\omega_{i_r}, \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{i}\in\mathbb{Z}^r;
\end{aligned}$$

for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant r-1$ and $1\leqslant t\leqslant r$, where $s_k:=(k,k+1)\in\mathfrak{S}_r$ (see [37]). The endomorphism algebra

$$\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v:=\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v}(\Omega_v^{\otimes r})$$

is called an affine quantum Schur algebra.

2.3. Since the actions of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ on $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$ are commute (see [15, Prop. 3.5.5 and Prop. 4.4.1]), there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_r: U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\to\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v \tag{2.2}$$

It was proved in [15, Th. 3.8.1] that ζ_r is surjective. Every $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module will be inflated into a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module via ζ_r.

3. FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATION OF $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ AND $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$

3.1. We first recall the classification of finite dimensional simple $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules of type 1. Let $\mathbb{A}=\{\frac{f(u)}{g(u)}\in\mathbb{C}(u)\mid f(u),g(u)\in\mathbb{C}[u],\ f(0)=g(0)=1\}$ and let $\mathsf{P}(n)=\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. Then $\mathsf{P}(n)$ is a group under multiplication. For $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$ and $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$ let

$$\omega_{i,a}=(1,\ldots,1,\underset{(i)}{1-au},1,\ldots,1)\in\mathsf{P}(n). \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\mathsf{P}(n)$ is generated freely as an abelian group by the elements $\omega_{i,a}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$, $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\mathsf{P}^+(n)$ be the monoid generated by 1 and the elements $\omega_{i,a}$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$, $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

For $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{P}_{j,s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u):=\exp\bigg(-\sum_{t\geqslant1}\frac{1}{[t]_v}\mathtt{h}_{j,\pm t}(vu)^{\pm t}\bigg)=\sum_{s\geqslant0}\mathscr{P}_{j,\pm s}u^{\pm s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)[[u,u^{-1}]]. \tag{3.2}$$

For $g(u)=\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}(1-a_iu)\in\mathbb{C}[u]$ with constant term 1 and $a_i\in\mathbb{C}^*$, define

$$g^{\pm}(u)=\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}(1-a_i^{\pm1}u^{\pm1}). \tag{3.3}$$

For $\mathbf{P}=(P_1(u),\ldots,P_{n-1}(u))\in\mathsf{P}^+(n)$, define $P_{j,s}\in\mathbb{C}$, for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, by the following formula

$$P_j^{\pm}(u)=\sum_{s\geqslant0}P_{j,\pm s}u^{\pm s}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $P_j^{\pm}(u)$ is defined by (3.3).

For $\mathbf{P}\in\mathsf{P}^+(n)$ let $\bar{I}(\mathbf{P})$ be the left ideal of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}$, $\mathscr{P}_{j,s}-P_{j,s}$, and $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j-v^{\mu_j}$, for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_j=\deg P_j(u)$, and define

$$\bar{M}(\mathbf{P})=U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)/\bar{I}(\mathbf{P}).$$

Then $\bar{M}(\mathbf{P})$ has a unique simple quotient, denoted by $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$.

The following result is due to Chari–Pressley (see [9, 10, 11]).

Theorem 3.1. *The modules $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P})$ with $\mathbf{P}\in\mathsf{P}^+(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite dimensional simple $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules of type* 1.

3.2. Based on Chari–Pressley's classification of finite dimensional simple $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules, finite dimensional polynomial irreducible $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules were classified in [21]. We now review the classification of irreducible polynomial representation of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Let

$$\mathsf{X}(n)=\mathbb{A}^n=\mathsf{P}(n+1). \tag{3.5}$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let $\Lambda_{i,a} \in \mathsf{X}(n)$ be the element whose ith entry is $1 - au$ and all other entries is 1. In other words,

$$\Lambda_{i,a} = (1, \ldots, 1, \underset{(i)}{1 - au}, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathsf{X}(n). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $\mathsf{X}(n)$ is generated freely as an abelian group by the elements $\Lambda_{i,a}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\mathsf{Q}(n)$ be the monoid generated by 1 and the elements $\Lambda_{i,a}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then by definition we have $\mathsf{Q}(n) = \mathsf{P}^+(n+1)$.

Following [21], an n-tuple of polynomials $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \ldots, Q_n(u))$ with constant terms 1 is called *dominant* if, for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, the ratio $Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$ is a polynomial. Let $\mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ be the set of dominant n-tuples of polynomials.

Let $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by all $\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,0}$ and $\mathtt{k}_j^{\pm 1}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$). A finite dimensional representation V of $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is said to be of type 1 if $V = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} V_\lambda$, where $V_\lambda = \{w \in V \mid \mathtt{k}_i w = v^{\lambda_i} w,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$. Let

$$\mathrm{wt}(V) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid V_\lambda \neq 0\}.$$

If $\mathrm{wt}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ then V is called a polynomial representation of $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{Q}_i^{\pm}(u) := \exp\Big(- \sum_{t \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{[t]_v} g_{i,\pm t} (vu)^{\pm t} \Big) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} \mathscr{Q}_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s} \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)[[u, u^{-1}]]. \tag{3.7}$$

A $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module is said to be of type 1 if V is of type 1 as a $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module. Let V be a finite dimensional $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module of type 1. Then $V = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} V_\lambda$. Since the elements $\mathtt{k}_i$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$) commute among themselves, each V_λ is a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of the form

$$V_{(\lambda,\gamma)} = \{x \in V_\lambda \mid (\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - \gamma_{i,s})^p x = 0 \text{ for some } p\, (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, s \in \mathbb{Z})\},$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_{i,s})$ with $\gamma_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \ldots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}(n)$, let

$$\deg \mathbf{Q} = (\deg Q_1(u), \cdots, \deg Q_n(u)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

and define $Q_{i,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, by the following formula

$$Q_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} Q_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $Q_i^{\pm}(u)$ is defined using (3.3). Given $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}(n)$, let

$$V_{\mathbf{Q}} = \{x \in V_\lambda \mid (\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s})^p x = 0 \text{ for some } p\, (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, s \in \mathbb{Z})\},$$

where $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q}$.

Following [21], let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ be the category of all finite dimensional representations V of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ such that the restriction of V to $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a polynomial representation of type 1, and $V = \oplus_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathsf{Q}(n)} V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. The objects of the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ are called polynomial representations of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$, let $I(\mathbf{Q})$ be the left ideal of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s}$, and $\mathtt{k}_i - v^{\lambda_i}$, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i = \mathrm{deg} Q_i(u)$, and define

$$M(\mathbf{Q}) = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)/I(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then $M(\mathbf{Q})$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by $L(\mathbf{Q})$.

Theorem 3.2 ([21])**.** *The modules $L(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ are all nonisomorphic simple $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules in the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. Moreover,*

$$L(\mathbf{Q})|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{L}(\mathbf{P}), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \ldots, P_{n-1}(u))$ with $P_i(u) = Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$.

3.3. Finally, we recall some results about affine quantum Schur algebras. Let $\mathsf{Q}^+(n,r) = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n) \mid \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \deg Q_i(u) = r\}$.

Theorem 3.3. [15, Th. 4.6.8] *For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ the $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module $L(\mathbf{Q})$ can be regarded as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module via the map ζ_r defined in* (2.2)*. Furthermore, the set $\{L(\mathbf{Q}) \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)\}$ is a complete set of nonisomorphic simple $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let V be a finite dimensional $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module of type* 1 *and let W be a submodule of V. If $W, V/W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ then $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\dim V_{(\lambda,\gamma)} = \dim W_{(\lambda,\gamma)} + \dim(V/W)_{(\lambda,\gamma)}$ for each generalized eigenvalue (λ,γ). In particular, we have $\dim V_{\mathbf{Q}} = \dim W_{\mathbf{Q}} + \dim(V/W)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}(n)$. This together with the fact that $W, V/W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$, implies that $\dim V = \dim W + \dim V/W = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathsf{Q}(n)}(\dim W_{\mathbf{Q}} + \dim(V/W)_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathsf{Q}(n)} V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. It follows that $V = \oplus_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathsf{Q}(n)} V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and hence $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. □

Combining Theorem 3.3 with Lemma 3.4 yields the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module. Then $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$.*

4. The set $\Xi_{n,r}$ and the ℓ-weights of $L(\mathbf{Q})$

4.1. Following [8, 3.3] we introduce the ℓ-simple roots of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ as follows. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let

$$\alpha_{i,a} = \omega^{-1}_{i-1,av}\omega_{i,a}\omega_{i,av^2}\omega^{-1}_{i+1,av} \in \mathsf{P}(n),$$

where $\omega_{i,a}$ is defined in (3.1). The elements $\alpha_{i,a}$ are called the ℓ-simple roots for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

Recall from (3.5) the definition of $\mathsf{X}(n)$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let

$$\beta_{i,a} = \Lambda_{i,a}\Lambda_{i+1,a}^{-1} \in \mathsf{X}(n),$$

where $\Lambda_{i,a}$ is defined in (3.6). Let $\mathsf{R}(n)$ be the subgroup of $\mathsf{X}(n)$ generated by the elements $\beta_{i,a}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$). We call $\beta_{i,a}$ the ℓ-simple roots of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathsf{R}(n)$ the ℓ-root lattice of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Let $\mathsf{R}^+(n)$ be the monoid generated by 1 and the elements $\beta_{i,a}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$), and $\mathsf{R}^-(n) = (\mathsf{R}^+(n))^{-1}$.

The ℓ-simple roots of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and that of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ are related by a map κ_v, which we now describe. Clearly, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{X}(n)] = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{i,a}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\, a \in \mathbb{C}^*],$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{X}(n)]$ is the group ring associated with $\mathsf{X}(n)$. There is a natural ring homomorphism

$$\kappa_v : \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{X}(n)] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{P}(n)]$$

defined by sending $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u))$ to $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \cdots, P_{n-1}(u))$, where $P_i(u) = Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. By definition we have

$$\kappa_v(\Lambda_{i,a}) = \omega_{i,av^{i-1}}\omega_{i-1,av^i}^{-1}$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $\omega_{0,b} = \omega_{n,b} = 1$. It follows that

$$\kappa_v(\beta_{i,a}) = \alpha_{i,av^{i-1}},$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

4.2. For $n \geqslant 2$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n &= \{\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n) \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)\}, \\ \Xi_{n,r} &= \{\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n) \mid \mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We will prove in Theorem 6.8 that Ξ_n is the index set of the blocks in the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ and $\Xi_{n,r}$ is the index set of the blocks in the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules.

We are now prepared to describe the sets Ξ_n and $\Xi_{n,r}$ in Propostion 4.2 below. For $m \leqslant n-1$, let $\mathcal{X}_m$ be the subgroup of $\mathsf{X}(n)$ generated by $\Lambda_{i,a}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. For $m \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$ let $\mathcal{Y}_{m,s}$ be the subgroup of $\mathsf{X}(n)$ generated by $\beta_{i,a}$ for $m \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $m \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$ we have $\mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \cdots, 1)$ is the identity element in $\mathsf{X}(n)$.*

Proof. Assume $m+1 \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$. Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}_{m,s} = \mathcal{Y}_{m,s-1}\mathcal{Y}_{s,s}$, there exist $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m,s-1}$, $a_1, \cdots, a_t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $k_1, \cdots, k_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x = y \cdot \beta_{s,a_1}^{k_1} \cdots \beta_{s,a_t}^{k_t} = y \cdot \Lambda_{s,a_1}^{k_1} \cdots \Lambda_{s,a_t}^{k_t}\Lambda_{s+1,a_1}^{-k_1} \cdots \Lambda_{s+1,a_t}^{-k_t}.$$

Since $y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m,s-1} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_s$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}_m \subseteq \mathcal{X}_s$ we conclude that

$$\Lambda_{s+1,a_1}^{k_1} \cdots \Lambda_{s+1,a_t}^{k_t} = y \cdot \Lambda_{s,a_1}^{k_1} \cdots \Lambda_{s,a_t}^{k_t} \cdot x^{-1} \in \mathcal{X}_s.$$

This, together with the fact that $\mathsf{X}(n)$ is generated freely as an abelian group by the elements $\Lambda_{i,a}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$), implies that $k_i = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. It follows that $x = y \in \mathcal{Y}_{m,s-1}$. This shows that $\mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s-1}$ for $m+1 \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$. Thus, $\mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,m}$ for $m \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$. Furthermore, since $\mathsf{X}(n)$ is generated freely as an abelian group by the elements $\Lambda_{i,a}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we conclude that $\mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,m} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Consequently, we have $\mathcal{X}_m \cap \mathcal{Y}_{m,s} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ for $m \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$. □

Recall from §3 that $\mathbb{A} = \{\frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \in \mathbb{C}(u) \mid f(u), g(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u],\ f(0) = g(0) = 1\}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ is a group under multiplication. There is a natural group homomorphism

$$\vartheta : \mathbb{A} \to \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n) \tag{4.2}$$

defined by sending $Q(u)$ to $\overline{(Q(u), 1, \cdots, 1)}$. Let

$$\Gamma = \{Q(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u] \mid Q(0) = 1\},$$
$$\Gamma_r = \{Q(u) \in \Gamma \mid \deg(Q(u)) = r\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *(1) The map ϑ defined in* (4.2) *is a group isomorphism. Thus the group $\mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$ is a free abelian group and the set $\{\overline{\Lambda_{1,a}} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ is a basis of $\mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$.*

(2) We have $\vartheta(\Gamma) = \Xi_n$ and $\vartheta(\Gamma_r) = \Xi_{n,r}$ for all r.

(3) Let $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ and $\mathbf{Q}' = (Q_1'(u), \cdots, Q_n'(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,t)$. Then $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}'}$ if and only if $r = t$ and $\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Q_i(u) = \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Q_i'(u)$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\Lambda_{i,a}} = \overline{\Lambda_{i+1,a}}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, the group $\mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$ is generated by the elements $\overline{\Lambda_{1,a}}$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This implies that ϑ is surjective. Let $Q(u) = (1-a_1u)^{m_1} \cdots (1-a_tu)^{m_t} \in \ker\vartheta$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Lemma 4.1 we have $\Lambda_{1,a_1}^{m_1} \cdots \Lambda_{1,a_t}^{m_t} \in \mathcal{X}_1 \cap \mathsf{R}(n) = \mathcal{X}_1 \cap \mathcal{Y}_{1,n-1} = \{\mathbf{1}\}$. This implies that $m_i = 0$ for all i and hence ϑ is injective. The assertion (1) follows.

It is clear that $\vartheta(\Gamma) \subseteq \Xi_n$ and $\vartheta(\Gamma_r) \subseteq \Xi_{n,r}$ for all r. On the other hand, for $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$, let $Q(u) = \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Q_i(u)$. Then $Q(u) \in \Gamma_r$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we write

$$Q_i(u) = \prod_{k_{i-1}+1 \leqslant s \leqslant k_i} (1 - a_s u),$$

where $k_i = \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant i} \deg(Q_i(u))$ and $k_0 = 1$. Since $\overline{\Lambda_{1,a}} = \overline{\Lambda_{i,a}}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ we conclude that

$$\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ k_{i-1}+1 \leqslant s \leqslant k_i}} \overline{\Lambda_{i,a_s}} = \prod_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant r} \overline{\Lambda_{1,a_s}} = \vartheta(Q(u)) \in \vartheta(\Gamma_r), \tag{4.3}$$

and hence the assertion (2) follows. The assertion (3) follows from (4.3) and (1). □

4.3. For $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ let

$$\mathrm{wt}_\ell(V) = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}(n) \mid V_{\mathbf{Q}} \neq 0\}.$$

The element $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(V)$ is called an ℓ-weight of V. We will prove in Corollary 4.4 that $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q})) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$.

Following [21], for $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$, the v-character of V is defined as follows

$$\mathrm{ch}(V) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}(n)} \dim V_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{i,a} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\, a \in \mathbb{C}^*] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{X}(n)].$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, define $\mathbf{Q}_{i,a} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ by setting $Q_n(u) = (1 - av^{-n+1}u)^{\delta_{i,n}}$ and

$$\frac{Q_j(uv^{j-1})}{Q_{j+1}(uv^{j+1})} = (1 - au)^{\delta_{i,j}}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. Then $\mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ is generated by the elements $\mathbf{Q}_{i,a}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$) as a monoid. According to [21, (4.10)] we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i,a})) = \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < \cdots < j_i \leqslant n} \Lambda_{j_1, av^{i-1}} \Lambda_{j_2, av^{i-3}} \cdots \Lambda_{j_i, av^{1-i}}. \tag{4.4}$$

For $r \geqslant 0$ we set

$$\Lambda(n,r) = \big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \lambda_i = r\big\}.$$

Let $\Lambda^+(n,r) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r) \mid \lambda_i \geqslant \lambda_{i+1},\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$ write $\lambda \trianglelefteq \mu$ if $\sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mu_j$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Lemma 4.3. *For* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ *and* $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *we have* $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i,a})) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{i,a}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_i = \{\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \cdots, j_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid 1 \leqslant j_1 < \cdots < j_i \leqslant n\}$. For $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let where

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{j},a} = \Lambda_{j_1, av^{i-1}} \Lambda_{j_2, av^{i-3}} \cdots \Lambda_{j_i, av^{1-i}}.$$

According to (4.4), we have $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i,a})) = \{\Lambda_{\mathbf{j},a} \mid \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}_i\}$. Thus we have to show that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{j},a} \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,a}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}_i$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ let

$$\boldsymbol{e}_i = (0, \cdots, 0, \underset{(i)}{1}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \Lambda(n,1). \tag{4.5}$$

For $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathcal{M}_i$ we write

$$\mathbf{j} \geqslant \mathbf{j}' \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{e}_{j_1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_2} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_i} \trianglelefteq \boldsymbol{e}_{j_1'} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_2'} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_i'}.$$

Then $(1, 2, \cdots, i, 0, \cdots, 0) \leqslant \mathbf{j}$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}_i$. We proceed by induction on the ordering $\leqslant$ on $\mathcal{M}_i$. Clearly, we have $\Lambda_{(1,2,\cdots,i,0,\cdots,0),a} = \mathbf{Q}_{i,a} \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,a}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. Assume now that $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $\mathbf{j} \neq (1, 2, \cdots, i, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Let $\lambda = \boldsymbol{e}_{j_1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_2} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_i}$. Then $\lambda \notin \Lambda^+(n,i)$ and hence there exists $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$ such that $0 \leqslant \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \leqslant 1$. It follows that $\lambda_k = 0$ and $\lambda_{k+1} = 1$. Since $\lambda = \boldsymbol{e}_{j_1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_2} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{j_i}$ and $\lambda_{k+1} = 1$, there exists $1 \leqslant s \leqslant i$ such that $j_s = k+1$. Let

$\mathbf{j}' = (j_1, \cdots, j_{s-1}, j_s - 1, j_{s+1}, \cdots, j_i)$. Since $\lambda_{j_{s-1}} = 1$ and $\lambda_{j_s - 1} = \lambda_k = 0$ we have $j_s - 1 \neq j_{s-1}$. This together with the fact that $j_{s-1} < j_s$, implies that $j_{s-1} < j_s - 1$. Consequently, $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathcal{M}_i$. Let $\mu = \boldsymbol{e}_{j'_1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j'_2} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{j'_i}$. Then $\mu = \lambda + \boldsymbol{e}_k - \boldsymbol{e}_{k+1} \rhd \lambda$ and hence $\mathbf{j} > \mathbf{j}'$. By induction we have $\Lambda_{\mathbf{j}',a} \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,a}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. Furthermore we have

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{j},a} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{j}',a}\beta^{-1}_{j_s-1,av^{-2s+i+1}} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{j}',a}\beta^{-1}_{k,av^{-2s+i+1}}.$$

Thus we conclude that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{j},a} \in \mathbf{Q}_{i,a}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. The proof is completed. □

Corollary 4.4. *For* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ *we have* $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q})) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$.

Proof. According to the proof of [15, Prop. 4.6.7] we know that there exist $1 \leqslant i_1, \cdots, i_r \leqslant n$ and $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{i_r,a_r}$ and $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is a subquotient module of $L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_2,a_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_r,a_r})$. Thus by [21, Lem. 4.1] and 4.3 we conclude that $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q})) \subseteq \mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}))\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_2,a_2})) \cdots \mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_r,a_r})) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{i_r,a_r}\mathsf{R}^-(n) = \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. □

5. Weyl modules for affine quantum Schur algebras

5.1. For $\mathbf{P} \in \mathsf{P}^+(n)$ let $\bar{J}(\mathbf{P})$ be the left ideal of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}$, $\mathscr{P}_{j,s} - P_{j,s}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j - v^{\mu_j}$, and $(\mathtt{x}^-_{j,s})^{\mu_j+1}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_j = \deg(P_j(u))$, $\mathscr{P}_{j,s}$ is defined in (3.2) and $P_{j,s}$ is defined using (3.4). For $\mathbf{P} \in \mathsf{P}^+(n)$ let

$$\bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)/\bar{J}(\mathbf{P}).$$

The modules $\bar{W}(\mathbf{P})$ are called Weyl modules for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. It was proved in [13] that for each $\mathbf{P} \in \mathsf{P}^+(n)$, $\bar{W}(\mathbf{P})$ is a finite dimensional $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module of type 1.

Theorem 5.1 ([8]). *For* $\mathbf{P} \in \mathsf{P}^+(n)$ *there exist* $1 \leqslant i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k \leqslant n-1$ *and* $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *such that* $\bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \cong \bar{L}(\omega_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \bar{L}(\omega_{i_2,a_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{L}(\omega_{i_k,a_k})$.

5.2. For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ let $J(\mathbf{Q})$ be the left ideal of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} - Q_{i,s}$, $\mathtt{k}_i - v^{\lambda_i}$, and $(\mathtt{x}^-_{j,s})^{\mu_j+1}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i(u)$, $\mu_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}$ is defined in (3.7) and $Q_{i,s}$ is defined using (3.8). For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ let

$$W(\mathbf{Q}) = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)/J(\mathbf{Q}).$$

The modules $W(\mathbf{Q})$ are called Weyl modules for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

A $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module V in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ is called an ℓ-highest weight module with ℓ-highest weight $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ if there exists a nonzero vector $w_0 \in V$ such that $V = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w_0$ and

$$\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}w_0 = 0, \quad \mathscr{Q}^\pm_i(u)w_0 = Q^\pm_i(u)w_0, \quad \mathtt{k}_i w_0 = v^{\lambda_i}w_0$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i = \deg(Q_i(u))$, $\mathscr{Q}^\pm_i(u)$ is defined in (3.7) and $Q^\pm_i(u)$ is defined by (3.3). The element w_0 is called the ℓ-highest weight vector of V.

Lemma 5.2. *Any ℓ-highest weight $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ is a quotient of $W(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$.*

Proof. Let V be an ℓ-highest weight $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ with ℓ-highest weight $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ and let w_0 be the ℓ-highest weight vector of V. Then by definition we have $V = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w_0$ and

$$\mathtt{x}^+_{j,s}w_0 = 0, \quad \mathscr{Q}^{\pm}_i(u)w_0 = Q^{\pm}_i(u)w_0, \quad \mathtt{k}_i w_0 = v^{\lambda_i}w_0$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i = \deg(Q_i(u))$. Since $\dim V < \infty$, by [28, Lem. 5.4] we conclude that $(x^-_{j,s})^{\mu_j+1}w_0 = 0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_j = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$. It follows that $J(\mathbf{Q})w_0 = 0$ and hence V is a quotient of $W(\mathbf{Q})$. □

5.3. We are now ready to extend Theorem 5.1 to the case of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. We need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Let V be an ℓ-highest $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-weight module in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ with ℓ-highest weight $\mathbf{Q}$ and let w_0 be the ℓ-highest weight vector of V. Then $V = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)w_0$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \deg(\mathbf{Q}) = (\deg Q_1(u), \cdots, \deg Q_n(u))$. Then $w_0 \in V_\lambda$ and $\dim V_\lambda = 1$. Since the elements $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s$ are central in $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, we have $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s w_0 \in V_\lambda$. Thus, since $\dim V_\lambda = 1$, there exists $a_{\pm s} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s w_0 = a_{\pm s}w_0$. This together with the fact that $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is generated by $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, $\mathtt{k}^{\pm 1}_i$ and $\mathsf{z}^{\pm}_s$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ s \geqslant 1)$, implies that $V = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w_0 = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)w_0$. □

For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let

$$\mathrm{Det}_a = L(\mathbf{Q}_{n,av^{n-1}}).$$

According to [15, Lem. 4.6.5] we have $\dim \mathrm{Det}_a = 1$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and V be a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module. Assume $\mathrm{Det}_a = \mathrm{span}\{w_0\}$. Then*

$$x(w \otimes w_0) = (xw) \otimes w_0$$

for $x \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $w \otimes w_0 \in V \otimes \mathrm{Det}_a$. In particular we have $(V \otimes \mathrm{Det}_a)|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong V|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$.

Proof. It is clear that $E_i w_0 = F_i w_0 = 0$ and $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i w_0 = w_0$ for all i. This together with (2.1) implies that $E_i(w \otimes w_0) = (E_i w) \otimes w_0$, $F_i(w \otimes w_0) = (F_i w) \otimes w_0$ and $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i(w \otimes w_0) = (\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i w) \otimes w_0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Therefore, since $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is generated by the elements E_i, F_i and $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}^{\pm 1}_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we conclude that $x(w \otimes w_0) = (xw) \otimes w_0$ for $x \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $w \in V$. The proof is completed. □

Proposition 5.5. *(1) For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$, we have $W(\mathbf{Q})|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{W}(\mathbf{P})$ where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathsf{P}^+(n)$ with $P_i(u) = Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.*

(2) For each $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$, there exist $1 \leqslant i_1, \cdots, i_k \leqslant n-1$, $a_1, \cdots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b_1, \cdots, b_l \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $W(\mathbf{Q}) \cong L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_k,a_k}) \otimes \mathrm{Det}_{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Det}_{b_l}$.

(3) For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ we have $W(\mathbf{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$.

Proof. There exists a natural $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module homomorphism

$$\varphi : \bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \to W(\mathbf{Q}) \tag{5.1}$$

defined by sending $x + \bar{J}(\mathbf{P})$ to $x + J(\mathbf{Q})$ for $x \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$. From Lemma 5.3 we see that $W(\mathbf{Q}) = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)\bar{1}$, where $\bar{1} = 1 + J(\mathbf{Q}) \in W(\mathbf{Q})$. This implies that φ is surjective. By [13] we know that $\bar{W}(\mathbf{P})$ is finite dimensional. Thus $\dim W(\mathbf{Q}) < \infty$ and

$$\dim \bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \geqslant \dim W(\mathbf{Q}). \tag{5.2}$$

To prove (1) it will therefore be enough to prove that $\dim W(\mathbf{Q}) \geqslant \dim \bar{W}(\mathbf{P})$.

According to Theorem 5.1 and (3.9), there exist $1 \leqslant i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k \leqslant n-1$ and $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$\bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \cong \bar{L}(\omega_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{L}(\omega_{i_k,a_k}) \cong (L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_k,a_k}))|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q} = (\deg Q_1(u), \cdots, \deg Q_n(u))$. Write $Q_n(u) = (1 - b_1 u) \cdots (1 - b_l u)$ where $l = \lambda_n = \deg(Q_n(u))$. Since $\mathbf{P} = \omega_{i_1,a_1} \cdots \omega_{i_k,a_k}$ we have $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{i_k,a_k} \mathbf{Q}_{n,b_1 v^{n-1}} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{n,b_l v^{n-1}}$. Let

$$V = L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_k,a_k}) \otimes \mathrm{Det}_{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Det}_{b_l}.$$

Then $\dim V_\lambda = 1$. Let w_s (resp., m_t) be an ℓ-highest weight vector of $L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_s,a_s})$ (resp., Det_{b_t}). Let $w_0 = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_k$ and $m_0 = m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_l$. From Lemma 5.4 and (5.3) we see that

$$V|_{U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \tag{5.4}$$

It follows that $\bar{J}(\mathbf{P})(w_0 \otimes m_0) = 0$. Furthermore by [21, Lem. 4.1] we have $\mathscr{Q}_i^{\pm}(u)(w_0 \otimes m_0) = Q_i^{\pm}(u) w_0$ and $\mathtt{k}_i(w_0 \otimes m_0) = v^{\lambda_i} w_0 \otimes m_0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Thus there exists a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module homomorphism

$$\psi : W(\mathbf{Q}) \to V$$

defined by sending $x\bar{1}$ to $x(w_0 \otimes m_0)$ for $x \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, where $\bar{1} = 1 + J(\mathbf{Q}) \in W(\mathbf{Q})$. According to (5.3) we have $L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_k,a_k}) = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) w_0$. This together with Lemma 5.4 implies that

$$V \supseteq U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)(w_0 \otimes m_0) \supseteq U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)(w_0 \otimes m_0) = \{(x w_0) \otimes m_0 \mid x \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)\} = V.$$

It follows that $V = U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)(w_0 \otimes m_0)$ and hence ψ is surjective. Therefore by (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) we have

$$\dim W(\mathbf{Q}) \geqslant \dim V = \dim \bar{W}(\mathbf{P}) \geqslant \dim W(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Thus, the maps φ, ψ are all isomorphisms. The assertion (1) and (2) follows. The assertion (3) follows from (2) and [21, Lem. 4.3]. The proof is completed. $\square$

5.4. We will prove in Corollary 5.8 that the $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module $W(\mathbf{Q})$ is also an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$. The $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules $W(\mathbf{Q})$ with $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ will be called Weyl modules for $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$.

According to Theorem 3.3 and [15, Lem. 4.6.6] we have the following result.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\mathbf{Q}_i \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r_i)$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant t)$. Then $L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_t)$ can be regarded as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module via the map ζ_r defined in* (2.2)*, where $r = r_1 + \cdots + r_t$.*

Combining Theorem 3.3 with [15, (4.6.0.1) and Cor. 4.6.2] gives the following result.

Lemma 5.7. *For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is a quotient of $L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_r})$.*

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$. Then the $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module $W(\mathbf{Q})$ can be regarded as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module via the map ζ_r defined in* (2.2)*. Furthermore, there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $W(\mathbf{Q})$ is a quotient of $L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_r})$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 5.5(2) and Lemma 5.7, we conclude that there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_{r'}$ such that $W(\mathbf{Q})$ is a quotient of $L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_{r'}})$. We have to show that $r = r'$. From Lemma 5.6 we see that $L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a_{r'}})$ is an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r')_v$-module. It implies that $W(\mathbf{Q})$ is also an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r')_v$-module. This, together with the fact that $\zeta_{r'}(\mathtt{k}_1 \cdots \mathtt{k}_n) = v^{r'}$ shows that

$$v^{r'} w_0 = \zeta_{r'}(\mathtt{k}_1 \cdots \mathtt{k}_n) w_0 = \mathtt{k}_1 \cdots \mathtt{k}_n w_0 = v^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n} w_0$$

where w_0 is the ℓ-highest weight vector of $W(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\lambda_i = \deg Q_i(u)$. Therefore $r' = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n = r$. □

5.5. We now use Proposition 5.5 to prove the following generalization of Corollary 4.4.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ be an ℓ-highest weight $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module with ℓ-highest weight $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$. Then $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(V) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$.*

Proof. According to [21, Lem. 4.1], Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 5.5(2) we have $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(W(\mathbf{Q})) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. Thus by Lemma 5.2 we conclude that $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(V) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. □

6. BLOCKS OF $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ AND $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$

6.1. Let us recall the definition of blocks of an abelian category as follows (see [20]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category, in which every object has finite length. Say that two indecomposable objects X_1, X_2 of $\mathcal{C}$ are linked if there do not exist abelian subcategories $\mathcal{C}_k$ $(1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2)$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$ with $X_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$, $X_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Then linking is an equivalence relation. Let I be the set of equivalence classes of linked indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}$. For $\alpha \in I$ let $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, consisting of direct sums of indecomposable objects from α. Then $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\alpha\in I}\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. The subcategories $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ are called the blocks of $\mathcal{C}$.

For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ the element $\chi_{\mathbf{Q}} := \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$ is called the elliptic character of $L(\mathbf{Q})$. A $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module V in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ is said to have elliptic character $\chi \in \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$ if every irreducible composition factor of V has elliptic character χ. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi}$ be the subcategory of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ consisting of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ with elliptic character χ.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ be the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules. According to Corollary 3.5 we know that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ is a subcategory of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r,\chi}$ be the subcategory of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ consisting of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules with elliptic character χ.

6.2. Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.7 are the key to the proof of Theorem 6.8. To prove Proposition 6.3 we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *(1) For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$, $W(\mathbf{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$.*

(2) We have $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi_1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi_2} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi_1\chi_2}$.

Proof. According to Corollary 5.8, we have $W(\mathbf{Q}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$. If $L(\mathbf{Q}')$ is a composition factor of $W(\mathbf{Q})$, then by Proposition 5.9, we have $\mathbf{Q}' \in \mathbf{Q}\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. This implies that $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}'}$. The assertion (1) follows. To prove (2), it is enough to prove that $L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_2) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\overline{\mathbf{Q}_1}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{Q}_2}}$ for $\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2 \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$. Suppose that $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is a composition factor of $L(\mathbf{Q}_1) \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_2)$. Then by [21, Lem. 4.1] and Proposition 5.9 we conclude that $\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q})) \subseteq \mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_1))\mathrm{wt}_\ell(L(\mathbf{Q}_2)) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{Q}_2\mathsf{R}^-(n)$. Thus $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}_1} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{Q}_2}$. The assertion (2) follows. □

We define an algebra anti-automorphism $\tau : U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ by

$$\tau(\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,s}) = \mathtt{x}^\mp_{j,s}, \quad \tau(\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}) = \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}, \quad \tau(\mathtt{g}_{i,t}) = \mathtt{g}_{i,t}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. Given a finite dimensional left $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module V, we write V° for the dual space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V,\mathbb{C})$ regarded as a left $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module via the action $(hf)(x) = f(\tau(h)x)$, for $h \in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V,\mathbb{C})$ and $x \in V$. Since $\tau(\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}) = \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\tau(\mathtt{g}_{i,t}) = \mathtt{g}_{i,t}$, we have $\mathrm{ch}(V) = \mathrm{ch}(V^\circ)$. This implies that

$$L(\mathbf{Q})^\circ \cong L(\mathbf{Q}) \tag{6.1}$$

for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$. For $M_1, M_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(M_1, M_2) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(M_2^\circ, M_1^\circ).$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(L(\mathbf{Q}), L(\mathbf{Q}')) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(L(\mathbf{Q}'), L(\mathbf{Q}))$$

for $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$.

Lemma 6.2. *(1) Let* $W \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}_0 \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$ *with* $\chi \neq \overline{\mathbf{Q}_0}$*. Then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) = 0$*.*

(2) Assume that $V_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi_i}$*,* $i = 1, 2$ *and that* $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$*. Then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(V_1, V_2) = 0$*.*

Proof. Let $\ell(W)$ be the length of W. To prove (1), we proceed by induction on $\ell(W)$. Suppose first that $\ell(W) = 1$. Then $W = L(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{Q}_0) \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{g} L(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6.2}$$

We have to show that the short exact sequence is split. Let $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mu = \deg \mathbf{Q}_0$. Then one of the following hold,

(i) $\lambda \lhd \mu$, or

(ii) $\lambda \ntriangleleft \mu$.

From (6.1) we see that the short exact sequence (6.2) yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow V^{\circ} \longrightarrow L(\mathbf{Q}_0) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus we may assume that $\lambda \ntriangleleft \mu$ without loss of generality. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ let $\alpha_i = \boldsymbol{e}_i - \boldsymbol{e}_{i+1}$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_i$ is defined in (4.5). Since $\lambda \ntriangleleft \mu$ and $L(\mathbf{Q}_0) = \oplus_{\nu \unlhd \mu} L(\mathbf{Q}_0)_\nu$ we have $L(\mathbf{Q}_0)_{\lambda+\alpha_i} = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. This implies that $\dim V_{\lambda+\alpha_i} = \dim L(\mathbf{Q})_{\lambda+\alpha_i} + \dim L(\mathbf{Q}_0)_{\lambda+\alpha_i} = 0$ and hence

$$\mathrm{x}^+_{i,s} V_\lambda = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the elements $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$) commute among themselves, there exists an element $0 \neq w \in V_{\mathbf{Q}} \subseteq V_\lambda$ such that

$$\mathscr{Q}_{i,s} w = Q_{i,s} w$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. This, together with Lemma 5.2 and (6.3), implies that $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w$ is a quotient of $W(\mathbf{Q})$. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$. Thus, since $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \neq \overline{\mathbf{Q}_0}$, we have $f(L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \nsubseteq U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w$. This implies that $f(L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \cap U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$V = f(L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \oplus U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)w.$$

This shows that the short exact sequence (6.2) is split.

Now we assume that $\ell(W) > 1$. Let W_1 be a proper nontrivial submodule of W and let $W_2 = W/W_1$. Then by induction we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W_1, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W_2, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) = 0$. Furthermore the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow W_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

yields a short exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W_2, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W_1, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)).$$

Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) = 0$. The assertion (1) follows. The assertion (2) is proved similarly by induction on $\ell(V_2)$. $\square$

Proposition 6.3. *Let V be an indecomposable $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. Then $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [8, Th. 8.3(i)]. We proceed by induction on $\ell(V)$. If $\ell(V) = 1$ then $V = L(\mathbf{Q})$ for some $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n)$. By definition we have $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$. Now we assume $\ell(V) > 1$. Let $L(\mathbf{Q}_0)$ be an irreducible submodule of V and let $W = V/L(\mathbf{Q}_0)$. Write $W = \oplus_{1\leqslant j\leqslant s} W_j$, where W_j is indecomposable for all j. By induction, for each $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, there exist $\chi_j \in \mathsf{X}(n)/\mathsf{R}(n)$ such that $W_j \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi_j}$. We have to show that $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \chi_j$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. If this is not true, then there exists j_0 such that $\chi_{j_0} \neq \overline{\mathbf{Q}_0}$. By Lemma 6.2 we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(W, L(\mathbf{Q}_0)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(\oplus_{j\neq j_0} W_j, L(\mathbf{Q}_0))$. It follows that there exist a short exact sequence $0 \to L(\mathbf{Q}_0) \to V' \to \oplus_{j\neq j_0} W_j \to 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n}(\oplus_{j\neq j_0} W_j, L(\mathbf{Q}_0))$ such that the short exact sequence $0 \to L(\mathbf{Q}_0) \to V \to W \to 0$ is equivalent to the short exact sequence $0 \to L(\mathbf{Q}_0) \to V' \oplus W_{j_0} \to \oplus_{j\neq j_0} W_j \oplus W_{j_0} \to 0$. In particular, we have $V \cong V' \oplus W_{j_0}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \chi_j$ for all j and $V \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\overline{\mathbf{Q}_0}}$. □

6.3. Before proving Proposition 6.7, we need several preliminary lemmas. According to [3, 6, 38] we have the following result.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $1 \leqslant i_1, \cdots, i_r \leqslant n$, $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then there exist a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_1,a_{\sigma(1)}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{i_r,a_{\sigma(r)}})$ is cyclic on the tensor product of the ℓ-highest weight vectors.*

Lemma 6.5. *Let $V_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$. Then $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r$ and $V_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\sigma(r)}$ have the same composition factors for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Rep}\, U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the Grothendieck ring of the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. For $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ we write $[X]$ for the class of X in $\mathrm{Rep}\, U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. According to [21, Lem. 4.5] we know that $\mathrm{Rep}\, U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a commutative ring. It follows that for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ we have $[V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r] = [V_1] \cdots [V_r] = [V_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots V_{\sigma(r)}]$. The assertion follows. □

For $V_1, V_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$, we write $V_1 \sim_n V_2$ if V_1 and V_2 belong to the same block of the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. Similarly, for $V_1, V_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$, we write $V_1 \sim_{n,r} V_2$ if V_1 and V_2 belong to the same block of the category $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let $L_a = L(\mathbf{Q}_{1,a})$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and let σ be an element in the Symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$. Then $L_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_r} \sim_n L_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_{\sigma(r)}}$ and $L_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_r} \sim_{n,r} L_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_{\sigma(r)}}$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 5.6, for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, $L_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_{\sigma(r)}}$ can be regarded as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n, r)_v$-module via ζ_r. Thus by Lemma 6.4, we conclude that there exist a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $L_{a_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \cdots L_{a_{\pi(r)}}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n, r)_v$-module. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 6.5. □

Proposition 6.7. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}' \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,t)$. *Assume that* $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}'}$. *Then* $r = t$, $L(\mathbf{Q}) \sim_n L(\mathbf{Q}')$ *and* $L(\mathbf{Q}) \sim_{n,r} L(\mathbf{Q}')$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.5 we conclude that there exist $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is the composition factor of $L_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_r}$ and $L_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{a_r}$ is indecomposable. Similarly, we choose $b_1, \cdots, b_t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $L(\mathbf{Q}')$ is the composition factor of $L_{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{b_t}$ and $L_{b_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{b_t}$ is indecomposable. From Proposition 6.3 we see that

$$\overline{\Lambda_{1,a_1}} \cdots \overline{\Lambda_{1,a_r}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}'} = \overline{\Lambda_{1,b_1}} \cdots \overline{\Lambda_{1,b_t}}.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.2(1) that $r = t$ and there exists $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $(b_1, \cdots, b_r) = (a_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, a_{\sigma(r)})$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 6.6. □

6.4. Recall from (4.1) the definition of Ξ_n and $\Xi_{n,r}$. We are now prepared to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 6.8. *We have*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Xi_n} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Xi_{n,r}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r,\chi}.$$

Furthermore each $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi}$ *is a block of* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ *for* $\chi \in \Xi_n$ *and each* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r,\chi}$ *is a block of* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ *for* $\chi \in \Xi_{n,r}$.

Proof. From Proposition 6.3 and [7, Lem. 2.5] we see that each block of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$ is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \Xi_n$ and each block of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r,\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \Xi_{n,r}$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 6.7. □

Combining Proposition 4.2 with Theorem 6.8 yields the following result.

Theorem 6.9. *Let* $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}' = (Q_1'(u), \cdots, Q_n'(u)) \in \mathsf{Q}^+(n,t)$. *Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $L(\mathbf{Q}), L(\mathbf{Q}')$ *belong to the same block of* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_n$*;*
(ii) $r = t$, *and* $L(\mathbf{Q}), L(\mathbf{Q}')$ *belong to the same block of* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$*;*
(iii) $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}'}$*;*
(iv) $r = t$ *and* $\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Q_i(u) = \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Q_i'(u)$.

7. BLOCKS OF AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS

7.1. The blocks of extended affine Hecke algebras of type A are known (see [33, Th. 7], [5, III.9] and [32, Th. 2.15]). We will use Theorem 6.9 to give a different approach to the classification of the blocks for the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$.

A *segment* s with center $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is by definition an ordered sequence

$$\mathsf{s} = (av^{-k+1}, av^{-k+3}, \ldots, av^{k-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k.$$

Here k is called the length of the segment, denoted by $|\mathsf{s}|$. If $\mathbf{s} = \{\mathsf{s}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{s}_p\}$ is an unordered collection of segments, let $|\mathbf{s}| = |\mathsf{s}_1| + \ldots + |\mathsf{s}_p|$. We also call $|\mathbf{s}|$ the length of $\mathbf{s}$. Let $\mathscr{S}_r$ be the set of unordered collections of segments $\mathbf{s}$ with $|\mathbf{s}| = r$.

If $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_m} \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ is reduced let $T_w = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_m}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(r)_v$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ generated by T_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. For $\mu \in \Lambda(p,r)$ let $\mathfrak{S}_\mu$ be the corresponding standard Young subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and let $y_\mu = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\mu} (-v^2)^{-\ell(w)} T_w \in \mathcal{H}(r)_v$. Then,

$$\mathcal{H}(r)_v y_\mu \cong E_\mu \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{\nu \vdash r, \nu \rhd \lambda} m_{\nu,\mu} E_\nu \Big),$$

where E_ν is the left cell module defined by the Kazhdan–Lusztig's C-basis [30] associated with the left cell containing $w_{0,\nu}$ and $w_{0,\nu}$ is the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\nu$.

For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$, let

$$M_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v / J_{\mathbf{b}},$$

where $J_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the left ideal of $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$ generated by $X_j - b_j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. Given $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_p) \in \Lambda(p,r)$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^p$ with $\mu_i \geqslant 1$ for all i, let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathsf{s}_1, \cdots, \mathsf{s}_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$, where $\mathsf{s}_i = (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \ldots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1})$. Let

$$I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}} = \mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v \bar{y}_\mu \subseteq M_{\mathbf{b}}$$

where $\bar{y}_\mu = y_\mu + J_{\mathbf{b}} \in M_{\mathbf{b}}$. Note that $I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(r)_v y_\mu$ as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_v$-module.

Given $\mathbf{s} = \{\mathsf{s}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{s}_p\} \in \mathscr{S}_r$ with $\mathsf{s}_i = (a_i v^{-\mu_i+1}, a_i v^{-\mu_i+3}, \ldots, a_i v^{\mu_i-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mu_i}$, let $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_p) \in \Lambda(p,r)$ and let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^p$. Let $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the unique composition factor of the $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$-module $I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}}$ such that the multiplicity of E_μ in $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ as an $\mathcal{H}(r)_v$-module is nonzero. The following classification theorem is due to Zelevinsky [39] and Rogawski [35].

Theorem 7.1. *The modules $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ with $\mathbf{s} \in \mathscr{S}_r$ are all nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$-modules.*

7.2. The $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$-modules $I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}}$ and the Weyl modules $W(\mathbf{Q})$ for the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ can be related by a functor F, which we now describe. Recall from §6 that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$ is the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-modules. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_r$ be the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$-modules. Using the $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-$\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v$-bimodule $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$, we define a functor

$$\mathsf{F} : \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_r \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r},\ V \longmapsto \Omega_v^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_v} V.$$

If $n \geqslant r$ then the functor F is an equivalence of categories (see [12, Th. 4.2] and [15, Th. 4.1.3]). According to [22, Prop. 4.6] we have the following results.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_p) \in \Lambda(p,r)$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_p) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^p$ with $\mu_i \geqslant 1$ for all i. Then $\mathsf{F}(I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}})$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathbf{Q}_{\mu_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\mathbf{Q}_{\mu_p,a_p})$ if $\mu_i \leqslant n$ for all i, and it is zero, otherwise.*

Combining Proposition 5.5 with Lemma 7.2 yields the following result.

Corollary 7.3. *For* $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathsf{Q}^+(n,r)$ *there exist* $1\leqslant\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_p\leqslant n$ *and* $a_1,\cdots,a_p\in\mathbb{C}^*$ *such that* $W(\mathbf{Q})\cong\mathsf{F}(I_{\mu,\boldsymbol{a}})$*, where* $\mu=(\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_p)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_1,\cdots,a_p)$*.*

7.3. Assume $n>r$. For $\mathbf{s}=\{\mathsf{s}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{s}_p\}\in\mathscr{S}_r$ with

$$\mathsf{s}_i=(a_iv^{-\mu_i+1},a_iv^{-\mu_i+3},\ldots,a_iv^{\mu_i-1})\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\mu_i},$$

define

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}}=(Q_1(u),\ldots,Q_n(u)) \tag{7.1}$$

by setting recursively

$$Q_i(u)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } i=n;\\ P_i(uv^{-i+1})P_{i+1}(uv^{-i+2})\cdots P_{n-1}(uv^{n-2i}), & \text{if } n-1\geqslant i\geqslant 1,\end{cases}$$

where $P_i(u)=\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant j\leqslant p\\ \mu_j=i}}(1-a_ju)$.

Given $\mathbf{s}=\{\mathsf{s}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{s}_p\}\in\mathscr{S}_r$, let

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s})=(\mathsf{s}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{s}_p)\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^r \tag{7.2}$$

be the r-tuple obtained by juxtaposing the segments in $\mathbf{s}$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ acts on the set $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ by place permutation:

$$\mathbf{b}w=(b_{w(1)},\cdots,b_{w(r)}),\quad \text{for } \mathbf{b}=(b_1,\cdots,b_r)\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^r \text{ and } w\in\mathfrak{S}_r.$$

Theorem 7.4. *Let* $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}'\in\mathscr{S}_r$*. Then* $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ *and* $V_{\mathbf{s}'}$ *belong to the same block of* $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_r}$ *if and only if there exists some* $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_r$ *such that* $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s})\sigma=\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s}')$*, where* $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s})$ *and* $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s}')$ *are defined using* (7.2)*.*

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{s}=\{\mathsf{s}_1,\mathsf{s}_2,\cdots,\mathsf{s}_k\}$ and $\mathbf{s}'=\{\mathsf{s}'_1,\mathsf{s}'_2,\cdots,\mathsf{s}'_l\}$ with

$$\mathsf{s}_i=(a_iv^{-\mu_i+1},a_iv^{-\mu_i+3},\ldots,a_iv^{\mu_i-1}),\quad \mathsf{s}'_j=(a'_jv^{-\mu'_j+1},a'_jv^{-\mu'_j+3},\ldots,a'_jv^{\mu'_j-1}).$$

Choose n such that $n>r$. Then by [15, 4.4.2] we conclude that $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{s}'}$ belong to the same block of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_r}$ if and only if $L(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}})$ and $L(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}'})$ belong to the same block of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{n,r}$, where $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}}=(Q_1(u),\cdots,Q_n(u))$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}'}=(Q'_1(u),\cdots,Q'_n(u))$ are defined using (7.1). Furthermore, if we write $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s})=(b_1,\cdots,b_r)$ and $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{s}')=(b'_1,\cdots,b'_r)$, then

$$\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}Q_i(u)=\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant k\\ 1\leqslant s\leqslant\mu_i}}(1-a_iuv^{2s-1-\mu_i})=\prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant r}(1-b_su),$$

$$\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}Q'_i(u)=\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant l\\ 1\leqslant s\leqslant\mu'_i}}(1-a'_iuv^{2s-1-\mu'_i})=\prod_{1\leqslant s\leqslant r}(1-b'_su).$$

Thus by Theorem 6.9 we conclude that $V_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{s}'}$ belong to the same block of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_r}$ if and only if there exists some $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $(b'_1,\cdots,b'_r)=(b_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,b_{\sigma(r)})$. The proof is completed. □

References

[1] S. Ariki, *Cyclotomic q-Schur algebras as quotients of quantum algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513** (1999), 53–69.

[2] S. Ariki, T. Terasoma, and H. Yamada, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity for the Hecke algebra of* $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})\wr\mathfrak{S}_n$, J. Algebra **178** (1995), 374–390.

[3] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, *Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), 839–867.

[4] J. Beck, *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 655–568.

[5] K.A. Brown and K.R. Goodearl, *Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.

[6] V. Chari, Vyjayanthi, *Braid group actions and tensor products. Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2002, 357–382.

[7] V. Chari and A. A. Moura, *Spectral characters of finite-dimensional representations of affine algebras*, J. Algebra **279** (2004), 820–839.

[8] V. Chari and A. A. Moura, *Characters and blocks for finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005, no. 5, 257–298.

[9] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 261–283.

[10] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[11] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 59–78, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[12] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **174** (1996), 295–326.

[13] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras*, Represent. Theory **5** (2001), 191–223.

[14] A. Cox, *The blocks of the q -Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **207** (1998), 306–325.

[15] B.B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **401**, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[16] R. Dipper and G. James, *The q-Schur algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **59** (1989), 23–50.

[17] S. Donkin, *On Schur algebras and related algebras IV. The blocks of the Schur algebras,* J.Algebra **168** 1994, 400–429.

[18] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Alg. Colloq. **2** (1995), 363–372.

[19] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Quantum Weyl reciprocity and tilting modules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 321–352.

[20] P. Etingof and A. A. Moura, *Elliptic central characters and blocks of finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 346–373.

[21] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *The Hopf algebra* Rep $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty)$, Sel. math., New Ser. **8** (2002), 537–635.

[22] Q. Fu, *Affine quantum Schur algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1205.0708.

[23] Q. Fu, *Integral affine Schur–Weyl reciprocity*, preprint, arXiv:1205.2030.

[24] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type* A_n, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, 67–85.

[25] R. M. Green, *The affine q-Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 379–411.

[26] J. Hu, *Schur-Weyl reciprocity between quantum groups and Hecke algebras of type* $G(r,1,n)$. Math. Z. **238** (2001), 505–521.

[27] A. Hubery, *Three presentations of the Hopf algebra* $\mathcal{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, preprint, 2009.

[28] J. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **6**, 1996.

[29] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of* $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$*, Hecke algebras, and the YangCBaxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phy. **11**(1986), 247–252.

[30] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke Algebras,* Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.

[31] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.

[32] S. Lyle and A. Mathas, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), 854–878.

[33] B.J. Müller, *Localization in non-commutative Noetherian rings*, Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976) 600–610.

[34] G. Pouchin, *A geometric Schur–Weyl duality for quotients of affine Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 230–247.

[35] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 443–465.

[36] M. Sakamoto, T. Shoji, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity for Ariki–Koike algebras*, J. Algebra **221** (1999) 293–314.

[37] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.

[38] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Standard modules of quantum affine algebras.* Duke Math. J. **111** (2002), 509–533.

[39] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II. On irreducible represnetations of* GL_n, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e S*é*r. 13 (1980), 165–210.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.
E-mail address: `q.fu@tongji.edu.cn`